|    | Case 2:23-cv-01016-DAD-DB Docume  | ent 5 Filed 01/24/24 Page 1 of 2 |  |
|----|---|----------------------------------|--|
| 1  |   |                                  |  |
| 2  |   |                                  |  |
| 3  |   |                                  |  |
| 4  |   |                                  |  |
| 5  |   |                                  |  |
| 6  |   |                                  |  |
| 7  |   |                                  |  |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |                                  |  |
| 9  | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |                                  |  |
| 10 |   |                                  |  |
| 11 | LOVELL D. GRAVES,   | No. 2:23-cv-01016 DB P           |  |
| 12 | Plaintiff,  |                                  |  |
| 13 | V.  | ORDER AND FINDINGS AND           |  |
| 14 | ST. ANDRE, HDSP Warden,   | RECOMMENDATIONS                  |  |
| 15 | Respondent.   |                                  |  |
| 16 |   |                                  |  |
| 17 | A recent court order was served on petitioner's address of record and returned by the           |                                  |  |
| 18 | postal service. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which   |                                  |  |
| 19 | requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More |                                  |  |
| 20 | than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service and  |                                  |  |
| 21 | petitioner has failed to notify the Court of a current address.                                 |                                  |  |
| 22 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a district           |                                  |  |
| 23 | judge to this case.   |                                  |  |
| 24 | In addition, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without                     |                                  |  |
| 25 | prejudice for failure to prosecute. <u>See</u> Local Rule 183(b).                               |                                  |  |
| 26 | These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge            |                                  |  |
| 27 | assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days |                                  |  |
| 28 | after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written          |                                  |  |
|    |   | 1                                |  |

## 

| 1  | objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's          |
|----|--|
| 2  | Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within         |
| 3  | fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections |
| 4  | within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.        |
| 5  | <u>Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).   |
| 6  | DATED: January 24, 2024  |
| 7  | ( Kunst  |
| 8  | DLB7 grav1016.133a  DEBORAH BARNES   |
| 9  | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE   |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
|    | d.   |